

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 OCT 19 PM 2:01

October 18, 2011

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am in the San Juan Water District's wholesale service area and I would like to voice my concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights, and, periodically, portions of Sacramento. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its customers at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to customers. What benefit would San Juan's customers like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the agreement was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That agreement must be honored. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts in northern California.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Judy Johnson  
8670 Gaines Avenue  
Orangevale, CA 95662

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM  
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:19

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



ALAN A. CHENEY  
4990 GROSVENOR CIR  
GRANITE BAY, CA 95746  
916-837-5083

[alan.cheney@bwdesigngroup.com](mailto:alan.cheney@bwdesigngroup.com)

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM  
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:18

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Cora Eichstadt

10.28.11

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAIL ROOM  
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:20

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

  
Granite Bay

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM  
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:19

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM  
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:19

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



George Demarest  
742 Nayland Court  
Folsom, CA 95630

**From:** [Ed Van Aelstyn](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Delta Plan Issues  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 7:53:09 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)  
Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council

should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ed & Dana Van Aelstyn  
Granite Bay, CA



**From:** [Les Ocsenas](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Delta Stewardship Council  
**Date:** Saturday, October 29, 2011 6:33:46 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.  
Sincerely,  
Les Ocsenas

**From:** [Peter Anderson](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Delta Water Plan not Fair, not Right  
**Date:** Saturday, October 29, 2011 12:10:22 PM  
**Importance:** High

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan

**This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

We are close to the source and should pay far less than the users in So Cal who should pay more. We didn't ask them to outgrow their water supplies, nor suck the delta dry. They have, and it's their problem.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake. (not a very green solution)

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like

me receive from those fees? Why tax me for So Cal – my property values drop and theirs increase.

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

**The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.  
Sincerely,

Peter S. Anderson  
Granite Bay

**From:** [Steve](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on My Family, My way of Life, and violating all reason as a customer of San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 4:45:32 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California  
C/O: [deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have grave concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process, as it is probably illegal, grossly unfair, and may violate the constitutional rights of myself, my family and my neighbors.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. It has served the area fairly and at reasonable cost for many years. Forcing an illegal plan upon its customers, whose infrastructure was planned both historically and by past agreements to suddenly abandon that infrastructure is grossly unfair. We have maintained a growth posture that has accommodated the environment and water resources unlike the greedy Southern California water consumers.

You are basically stealing our water to feed a hungry desert, whose water resources managers once ruined the Mono and Owen's Lakes. Now they want us to pay for their lack of diligence in water management by forcing our local residents to "pay" for watering the desert.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state

agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake. This is grossly unfair, as Los Angeles users have never implemented such plans and SHOULD BE REQUIRED TOO as part of this plan.

- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost

to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees? We already pay way too much, and in this will further depress the economy of the area.

- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

**When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Steve Irvine, concerned citizen and now active local water advocate  
916-749-0923

**From:** [C. Young](#)  
**To:** [Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 5:41:26 PM

---

**From:** [dirty12@surewest.net](mailto:dirty12@surewest.net)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 11:14:23 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.  
When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

John & Olivia D'Antonio



**From:** [Donna Hacker](#)  
**To:** [Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 2:16:07 PM

---

Dear Ladies and Sirs,

My questions to you regarding the Draft Delta Plan, as a San Juan Water Customer is HOW ARE YOU HELPING ME?

I am a conserving water user, yet your plan could encumber my provider to a degree that no matter how I continue to save my out of pocket expense will rise, as a result of your proposed water management.....please reconsider.

--

**Donna Marie**

**From:** [Patrice Gaumer](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 2:26:52 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.  
Sincerely,

Patrice Gaumer

**From:** [Mike Waggoner](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 1:01:10 PM

---

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

YOUR PROPOSAL IS UNFAIR TO THE CONSUMERS AND USERS OF THE SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT. PLEASE RECONSIDER YOUR OPTIONS SO AS THEY DO NOT UNFAIRLY AFFECT MYSELF AND MANY OTHERS.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NEEDS TO SOLVE THEIR OWN WATER PROBLEMS WITHOUT PLACING AN UNDUE AND UNFAIR HARDSHIP ON THOSE OF US WHO CHOOSE TO LIVE IN AN AREA WITH AN ABUNDANT AND NATURAL WATER SUPPLY.

THANK YOU.

MIKE WAGGONER  
GRANITE BAY, CA.

**From:** [Mark Bryers](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Monday, October 31, 2011 3:05:06 PM

---

I am a resident of the San Juan Water District near Folsom Lake and have serious concerns about the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration.

It is not a coincidence that we live near such a large water resource. I do not think that your council should be able to force our local water supplier to embark on any project that will significantly affect the amount or cost of the water which is currently being supplied.

There should be no additional fees imposed to residents for which there is not a measurable benefit to those who are paying the fee.

Long before the water supply is affected locally the water being supplied by northern California to southern California should be reduced. That was the deal when they started taking our water.

Please limit the council's jurisdiction to that of the delta area and not statewide.

Mark Bryers

**From:** [John Welles](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil.ca.gov)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Saturday, October 29, 2011 2:34:14 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg Chair, Delta Stewardship Council 980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
John E. Welles  
8454 E Hidden Lakes Drive  
Granite Bay, CA 95746

**From:** [Richard Bruce](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](#)  
**Cc:** [Richard Bruce](#)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, October 30, 2011 2:57:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [SJWD.docx](#)

---

**From:** [Deanna Sanchez](#)  
**To:** [Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 11:55:13 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently

under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of**

**the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake

and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations,

which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights

and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of

your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a

reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state

agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they

have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to

implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using

water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost**

**to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against

San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like

me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your

Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal

was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council**

**should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water**

**districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration. I hope you take all of this very seriously as it impacts many



Sincerely,

Deanna Sanchez

5277 Olive Ranch Rd

Granite Bay, CA 95746

916-872-4868



**From:** [Randy](#)  
**To:** [Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Plan Comments.  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 11:24:35 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees

against

San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

• **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Randy Dodd

**From:** [Sheri Adams](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 7:59:48 AM

---

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

I personally conserve every day. I didn't even turn the sprinklers on until August this year because it wasn't needed. I use low flow toilets and shower heads. This plan would penalize individuals like myself who do try to conserve. Hit the big water users. Locate those that are abusing and penalize them.

Thank you for your time and consideration.  
Sincerely,

Sheri Adams

**From:** [John](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Re: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Sunday, October 30, 2011 4:22:15 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.** San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – **and should always have** – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
John Sawicky  
7185 Sierra Drive

Granite Bay, CA 95746

**From:** [petpui@surewest.net](mailto:petpui@surewest.net)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 6:07:38 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Christine Young

**From:** [Mike Waggoner](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** UNFAIR PROPOSAL TO SAN JUAN WATER CUSTOMERS  
**Date:** Friday, October 28, 2011 12:59:03 PM  
**Importance:** High

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.



Sincerely,

Best Regards,

Mike Waggoner  
SAN JUAN WATER CUSTOMER

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 NOV -1 PM 3:02

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Mr. Phil Isenberg Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, California  
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAIL ROOM  
October 31, 2011  
2011 NOV -1 PM 2:50

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council.

**This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had -- and should always have -- enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake. **Where does common sense prevail?**
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Steve & Doreen Adams*

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



**From:** [Douglas Brosnan](#)  
**To:** [Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, November 04, 2011 1:53:12 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg

Chair, Delta Stewardship Council

980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor

Sacramento, California

[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently

under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of**

**the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake

and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations,

which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights

and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of

your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a

reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state

agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they

have implemented “all other feasible water supply alternatives.” This could require San Juan to

implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using

water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan’s ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost**

**to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against

San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan’s residents like

me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council’s jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council’s focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal

was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council**

**should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water**

**districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Douglas P. Brosnan; J.D., M.D.  
(714) 300-3129 (mobile)

Statement of Confidentiality

The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the addressee. This information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and its attachments, if any.

**From:** [Plastino, Mark D \(HP Finance\)](#)  
**To:** [Delta\\_Plan\\_Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:Delta_Plan_Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:21:09 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
Dear Mr. Isenberg:

We are San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake

and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's



residents like  
me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico.  
Your

Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our  
local rivers, the deal

was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand.

**The Council**

**should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other  
similar water  
districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark and Catherine Plastino

**From:** [Pat Leatherman](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Solution  
**Date:** Saturday, November 05, 2011 9:29:14 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

We have lived in the Granite Bay area for 47 years. We are retired. We have watched the cost of water rise over the years and we are to the point that we can not afford to have another raise.

We always felt living so close to the lake that we would at least have the water to take care of our property as it should be taken care of. We are not in favor of the Draft Delta Solution.

Please work on finding a plan that would be more in fitting with those living in the Granite Bay area. We would appreciated your consideration in this matter.

Stan and Pat Leatherman  
8205 Oak Knoll Dr.  
Granite Bay, CA 95746

**From:** [Ron Williams](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Re: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Saturday, November 05, 2011 4:02:02 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had - and should always have - enough water to serve it's residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

**Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

**Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

**Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to

Mexico. Your  
Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Ron Williams; Granite Bay, CA

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 NOV 14 PM 1:37

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

\* { When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Marvin Jung and family*  
7258 Silver Tree Place  
Granite Bay CA 95746

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

11/5/2011  
RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 NOV -7 PM 2: 24

Re: Draft Delta Plan -- Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Ronald A. Muth*  
8405 Royal Oaks Dr.  
Granite Bay, CA 95746

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAIL ROOM

2011 NOV -8 PM 1:29

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:


- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

AUDIE SPEARS



Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

2011 NOV -8 PM 1:29

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Richard Neal*  
8445 Royall Oaks Dr  
Granite Bay



Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 NOV -9 PM 2: 35

Re: Draft Delta Plan -- Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 NOV -9 PM 2:35

Re: Draft Delta Plan -- Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Sally & Art Altman*

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814

2011 NOV 17 PM 2:34

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.** San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

☐ **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

☐ **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

☐ **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Roy E. Story*  
*Shirley F. Story*

**From:** [Gary Enos](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Thursday, November 17, 2011 5:59:14 PM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like

me receive from those fees?

- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Gary Enos

**From:** [SFAuStar@aol.com](mailto:SFAuStar@aol.com)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Friday, November 18, 2011 8:18:03 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently

under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Doug Groshong  
8010 Cobble Court  
Granite Bay, CA 95746-9024

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 NOV 18 PM 1:23

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Harold R. Ryland*

Betty Chrisman  
P. O. Box 2034  
Granite Bay, CA 95746-2034  
blchrisman@surewest.net

21 November 2011

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth St., 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: *Draft Delta Plan* – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Chair Isenberg:

I am a life-long Northern California resident and the fourth generation of my family to call South Placer County home. I am the second generation of my family to be a San Juan Water District (SJWD) customer -- with my parents, we've been SJWD customers since April 1967.

I have serious concerns with the *Draft Delta Plan (Plan)* currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council (Council) which you chair. This draft, as currently written, should NOT be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

SJWD's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. SJWD serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights, and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of our tap. SJWD has always had – and should always continue to have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with the Council's *Plan* include:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water SJWD can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water supplies, like SJWD, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require SJWD to implement very expensive projects (e.g., pumping recycle water uphill many miles) prior to using water out of Folsom Lake, even though many of SJWD's customers live closer to Folsom Lake than any other water customers who obtain their water from than source.
- **Undermining SJWD's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft water plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against SJWD based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would I, and other SJWD residents, receive from these proposed fees?



- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Council should not have jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta, as its name ("Delta Stewardship Council") implies.

When Northern California agreed that Southern California could use water from our local rivers, this was with the promise that northern Californians – including but not limited to SJWD customers -- would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal **MUST** stand. The Council should revise the *Plan* to address the unfair impacts to SJWD and other similar water districts.

Additionally, I note that the Plan's first method for reducing reliance on the Delta is "enhanced conservation and water efficiency." Since two-thirds of California's water is found in Northern California, and two-thirds of California's population is in Southern California, I believe the first emphasis should be on ensuring that all of Southern California incorporate all necessary conservation and water efficiency measures as a prerequisite of obtaining any of Northern California's water. If Southern California water consumers cannot prove that they are abiding by all necessary conservation and water efficiency measures, any financial penalties or additional fees should be placed on Southern California consumers first, before placing such fees or financial penalties on Northern California water consumers.

Having worked for the California Energy Commission's Appliance Efficiency Program for 21 years, I fully understand the concepts of efficiency and conservation. And, this understanding is not limited only to energy – the Energy Commission's second *Appliance Efficiency Regulations*<sup>1</sup>, adopted almost 34 years ago, included maximum water usage standards for plumbing fittings. Further, the Energy Commission's enabling legislation, the Warren-Alquist Act<sup>ii</sup>, grants the Energy Commission the authority to adopt appliance efficiency standards for energy and water using appliances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,



Betty Chrisman

cc: San Juan Water District

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/appl\\_regs\\_1976-1992/1977\\_12\\_22\\_Appl\\_Regs.pdf](http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/appl_regs_1976-1992/1977_12_22_Appl_Regs.pdf)

<sup>ii</sup> See Section 25402(c)(1) of the document found at: <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2009publications/CEC-140-2009-001/CEC-140-2009-001-REV1.PDF>

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM  
2011 DEC -1 PM 1:33

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 DEC -6 PM 2: 02

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

*Delores Juan Bogert*

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 DEC 20 PM 2:06

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



RECEIVED  
DELTA COUNCIL  
MAILROOM

2011 DEC 20 PM 2:06

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

  
7545 Mountain Ave.  
Orangevale, CA 95662

**From:** [Helene Wilkins](#)  
**To:** [DeltaCouncil.Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaCouncil.Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Delta Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 20, 2011 11:16:32 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)  
Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Helene Wilkins

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California  
[deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



**From:** [Andrews, Tom \(PSG - Roseville CA.\)](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Sunday, December 11, 2011 8:32:30 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)  
Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:  
I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like

me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.  
Sincerely,

Tom Andrews  
104 Copper Creek Dr.  
Folsom, CA 95630

**From:** [Ernie Bulhoes](#)  
**To:** [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 14, 2011 8:26:26 AM

---

Mr. Phil Isenberg  
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov)

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented “all other feasible water supply alternatives.” This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against

San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ernest M. Bulhoses

**From:** [Joseph Sherman](#)  
**To:** [DeltaCouncil.Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaCouncil.Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil)  
**Subject:** Draft Delta Plan à?" Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 20, 2011 3:06:41 PM

---

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration. I'm a retired state employee. I don't think we need another state agency to oversee our water districts. I don't want to see my water rates rise to support another useless state agency. Did I mention I worked for the state? I've seen state agencies in action... I don't want to see more money wasted by more bureaucrats.

Leave the water districts alone and let them do their jobs. They seem to function better than most state agencies.

Joseph M Sherman  
Granite Bay, Ca. 95746